1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8		ES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10) Case No. 07-5944-SC	
11 12	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION)) MDL No. 1917	
13	ANTITRUST LITIGATION)) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING) KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS	
14) N.V., PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH) AMERICA CORPORATION, PHILIPS	
15) ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES (TAIWAN),) LTD. AND PHILIPS DA AMAZONIA	
16	This Document Relates to:) INDUSTRIA ELECTRONICA LTDA.'S) MOTION TO DISMISS THE DIRECT	
17	ALL ACTIONS) PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' AND THE) INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS'	
18) CONSOLIDATED AMENDED) COMPLAINTS	
19) Date: August 4, 2009	
20) Time: 9:00 am) Before: The Honorable Charles A. Legge	
21)	
22			
23	The Motion to Dismiss the Direct Purcha	aser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint and	
24	Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint, filed by Defendants Koninklijke		
25	Philips Electronics N.V., Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Electronics Industries		
26	(Taiwan), Ltd. and Philips da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda. came on regularly for hearing before		
27	this Court. Defendants moved pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and 12(b)(2).		
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING KPE'S, PENAC'S, PEIT'S AND PHILIPS DA AMAZONIA'S JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINTS CASE NO. 3:07-CV-5944 SC		

1	Having considered the pleadings and all papers filed in support of and in opposition to said		
2	motion, and having entertained argument of counsel, and good cause appearing,		
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
4	1. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.'s motion to dismiss the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs'		
5	Consolidated Amended Complaint is GRANTED without leave to amend.		
6	2. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.'s motion to dismiss the Indirect Purchaser		
7	Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint is GRANTED without leave to amend.		
8	3. Philips Electronics North America Corporation's motion to dismiss the Direct Purchaser		
9	Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint is GRANTED without leave to amend.		
10	4. Philips Electronics North America Corporation's motion to dismiss the Indirect		
11	Purchaser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint is GRANTED without leave to amend.		
12	5. Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd.'s motion to dismiss the Direct Purchaser		
13	Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint is GRANTED without leave to amend.		
14	6. Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd.'s motion to dismiss the Indirect Purchaser		
15	Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint is GRANTED without leave to amend.		
16	7. Philips da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda.'s motion to dismiss the Direct Purchaser		
17	Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint is GRANTED without leave to amend.		
18	8. Philips da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda.'s motion to dismiss the Indirect		
19	Purchaser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint is GRANTED without leave to amend.		
20			
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22			
23	DATED:		
24	HON. SAMUEL CONTI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
25			
26			
27			
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING KPE'S, PENAC'S, PEIT'S AND PHILIPS DA AMAZONIA'S JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINTS CASE NO. 3:07-CV-5944 SC		